

$t-t^*$ equations of Cecotti and Vafa in the context of $N=2$ SQM. It is related to the theory of primitive form by Kyoji Saito and it is a part of mirror symmetry construction. I will work today purely on QM grounds.

$N=2$ SQM based on chiral and antichiral multiplets. Like in $N=1$ SQM one may see that $\underline{Q_+ = \bar{\partial} + \partial W}$, $Q_- = \partial + \bar{\partial} \bar{W}$. δQ_+ correspond to $8W$, and are Q_- -exact. $\delta Q_+ = [Q_-, \delta W]$ this together with harmonic theory gives an interesting mathematical structure.

Plan of my talk:
I will describe two connections on the space of extended cohomology, and I will compare them. As a result, I will get interesting quadratic equations.

Let me introduce a spectral parameter z (this part has different names in the literature, someone call it t - do not like, someone call it $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}$)

$Q_z = Q_+ + z Q_-$
 Q_z would act in spaces: $V = \mathcal{Q}_X^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$

$$\hat{V} = \mathcal{Q}_X^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$$

z is acting just by multiplication in these spaces.

$W_t = W + \sum_k \frac{\Phi_k}{t^k}$:
 W is a holom. function on X -

so X is noncompact, Φ_K are also not functions, t_K are parameters.

Example 1: $X = \mathbb{C}$ noncompact.

$W = X^n$, where X is a hol. coord
on X

$$\Phi_K = X^K$$

This example is called singularity theory

Example 2: $X = \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$

$$W = X + \frac{1}{X}$$

called mirror symmetry example

I want $|\frac{\partial K}{\partial x}|^2 \rightarrow \infty$ when x goes along noncompact directions to get discrete spectrum of the $H = -\Delta + |\frac{\partial K}{\partial x}|^2 + \dots$ to have harmonic theory.

Study H_{Q_2} over the base

$$\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$$

formal disc

H_{Q_2} in the space \hat{V} .

It is a bundle and it has two connections

One connection is very easy and it does not involve harmonic theory.

For some reason it is called Gauss-Manin connection.

$Q_z = Q_+ + z Q_-$, if $Q_+ \rightarrow Q_+ + [Q_-, \Phi]$
 then it may be compensated by

$w \rightarrow w + \frac{1}{z} \Phi w$, where
 w is a representative of Q_z wh.

$$Q_z w = 0$$

$$Q_z \rightarrow Q_z + [Q_-, \Phi \cdot \epsilon]$$

$$(Q_z + [Q_-, \epsilon \Phi])(w + \epsilon \delta w) = 0$$

$$\underline{[Q_-, \Phi]w} + Q_z \delta w = 0$$

$$\underline{(Q_+ + z Q_-) \delta w} = 0$$

$$\delta w = \frac{1}{2} \Phi \cdot w$$

$$e^{+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\Phi} \left(Q_+ + \underline{[Q_-, \epsilon\Phi]} + \underline{z Q_-} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\Phi} = Q_+ + z Q_-$$

This deformation can be rotated away
 by conjugation with $e^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\Phi}$
 Here I assumed that $[Q_+, \Phi] = 0$

w_{GM} - horizontal section of the
 GM. connection

with the formula on representatives

$$\delta w^{GM} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi w : \underline{\text{Connection 1.}}$$

However, there is also another connection on Q_2 who is related to Hodge theory.

Idea of this connection: while Q_+ is changing, Q_- is not changing, and Harmonic forms of H identify H_{Q+} with H_{Q_-} . So there is a connection that is constant on H_{Q_-} upon this identification.

Now to write def. Since $s^{\text{Hodge}} w$ is due to this connection since class in H_{Q_-} is not changing $s^{\text{Hodge}} w$ should be Q_- -exact.

$Q_+ (s^{\text{Hodge}} w) = [Q, \phi] w$, $s^{\text{Hodge}} w = Q_- w$ ($*$)

$Q_- (\phi w)$ since I assume $Q_- w = 0$

Let me try to take h-homotopy to Q_+

$$\{Q_+, h\} = 1 - \text{Proj}_{\text{Harm}} \uparrow \text{harmonic forms}$$

$$s^{\text{Hodge}} w_{\text{Harm}} = -Q_- h(\phi w)$$

$Q_- \text{Proj}_{\text{Harm}}$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+ s^{\text{Hodge}} w &= +Q_+ Q_- h(\phi w) = \nearrow "0" \\ &= -Q_- Q_+ h \phi w = -Q_- (1 - \text{Proj}_{\text{Harm}}) \phi w \\ &\quad + Q_- h Q_+ (\phi w) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= -Q_- \phi w \leftarrow \text{this is what I wanted.}$$

this is a second integrable connection.
integrable - no curvature - since it
has a global definition given above.

Then I may extend Hodge to the full
space \hat{V} just by tensoring by $C[z, z^{-1}]$

If we have one integrable connection -
we just trivialize the bundle -
no new local information.

However, if we have two integrable
connections we may trivialize bundle
by first connection and write the
second connection as a 1-form.
(difference of two connections is
a 1-form with values in the
trivialized vector space)

End of the trivialization
 $\nabla^{GM} = \nabla^{\text{Hodge}} + A$, with equations

$$\rightarrow \nabla^{GM} = d + A \rightarrow \underline{\underline{dA + A^2 = 0}}$$

so we need to compare them

$$\delta^{\text{Hodge}} = Q_{-h} \varphi w$$

$$\delta^{GM} = \frac{1}{z} \varphi w$$

In EZ case suppose, that φw is zero
in Q_+ cohomology

then their difference is Q_2 -exact
 Really, in this case consider

$$Q_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} h \Phi_W \right) = \frac{1}{2} Q_+ h \Phi_W + \\ + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} Q_- h \Phi_W} \quad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \frac{1}{2} \Phi_W \end{matrix}$$

However, these two connections are different, if Φ_W is nonzero in Q_+ -cohom.

in such case $Q_+ h \Phi_W$ is actually $\frac{1}{2} \Phi_W - \frac{1}{2} \text{Proj}_{\text{Harm}} \Phi_W$ crucial difference

Let us write what happens in coordinates
 $W + \Phi_k t_k = W(t)$ $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t_i} = \Phi_i$

Let us introduce the following operator:
 $\hat{C}_i \rightarrow$ action of Φ_i on H_{Q_+} cohomology.

Then from our considerations we get

$$\nabla^{GM} = \nabla^H + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_i dt^i$$

Trivializing Hodge connection

GM connection takes the form

$$\nabla^{GM} = \cancel{d} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_i dt^i \rightarrow dt^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$$

$$(\nabla^{GM})^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$$

(1) $[\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_j] = 0$ coeff. in front of

$$\frac{1}{z^2}$$

(2) $\partial_j \hat{c}_i - \partial_i \hat{c}_j = 0 \leftarrow$ coeff in front of $\frac{1}{z}$

(1) and (2) are quite nontrivial equations

we may solve (2) by writing

$$\hat{c}_i = \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial z_i} \text{ for some matrix-valued function}$$

Then (1) looks very nontrivial

(c) $[d\hat{T}, d\hat{T}] = 0$ (may be called commutativity equations)

Interestingly, solutions to (c) equations may be classified by means of integrable equations theory.

There is an interesting example of solutions to such equations.

Example 1. $W = x^n + \sum_k t_k x^k$

How to do Kodge theory?
It turns out that harmonic theory may be

replaced by theory of holomorphic germs of harmonic forms at 0:

ω_h is a complicated 1-form containing $\omega_h(x, \bar{x}, dx, d\bar{x})$

In particular, for $n=2$ it is an oscillator.

and $\omega_h = e^{-|x|^2} (dx + d\bar{x})$

however, holomorphic germ ($\bar{x} \rightarrow 0$, keeping x -fixed)

is just dx , and the full theory may be played on holomorphic germs.

In particular, $w = x^n$, holomorphic germs of harmonic forms are

$dx, xdx, \dots, x^{n-2}dx$

the diff. between GM and wedge

wedge connection is

$$S^{\text{wedge}} w^{\text{germ}} = d \left(\frac{\varphi \cdot w}{dw} - [\varphi \cdot w]^h \right)$$

$$\varphi \cdot w = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \underbrace{x^k dx}_{[\varphi \cdot w]^h} + \underbrace{d\varphi \cdot (*)}_{\text{this piece}}$$

It is possible to write the global formula.

$$\omega_{\text{har}} = d \left(w^{\frac{k}{n}} \right)_+, \text{ where } w^{\frac{k}{n}} - \text{it is a series}$$
$$x^k + c_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + c_0 + c_{-1} x^{-1} + \dots$$

↑
harmonic germs look like. $(w^{\frac{k}{n}})_+$ only this part

Related integrable system is known as a

dispersion less limit of n-reduced
KP equations.