Three Surface Theorems

Stine Marie Berge

Analysis, Probability, Mathematical Physics

03.12.20

Stine Marie Berge (NTNU)

Three Surface Theorems

03.12.20 1 / 15



- 2 Extension to Eigenfunctions
- 3 Riemannian Manifolds
- 4 Other Families of Surfaces

Almgren's Frequency Function

• Harmonic Function: $h: B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \Delta h = \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} h) = 0$

Almgren's Frequency Function

- Harmonic Function: $h: B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \Delta h = \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} h) = 0$
- Define $H(r) = \int_{S_r} h^2 dS$ for r < R

Almgren's Frequency Function

• Harmonic Function: $h: B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \ \Delta h = \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} h) = 0$

• Define
$$H(r) = \int_{S_r} h^2 dS$$
 for $r < R$

Theorem

Frequency:
$$N(r) = \frac{rH'(r)}{H(r)}$$
 is increasing
Convexity: $\log(H(e^t))$ is convex, or equivalently
$$\frac{H''(r)H(r) - H'(r)^2 + \frac{1}{r}H(r)H'(r)}{H(r)^2} \ge 0.$$

Midpoint Convexity: $H(2r) \leq \sqrt{H(r)H(4r)}$

$$\log(H(e^t))' = N(e^t)$$



• Propagation of smallness.



- Propagation of smallness.
- Unique continuation, and controlling the order of vanishing.



- Propagation of smallness.
- Unique continuation, and controlling the order of vanishing.
- *N*(*r*) controls the vanishing order of *h*.

Example (Homogeneous harmonic polynomials)

Let h be a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree d

•
$$N(r) = \frac{rH'(r)}{H(r)} = 2d + n - 1$$

•
$$H(2r) = \sqrt{H(r)H(4r)}$$

Example (Homogeneous harmonic polynomials)

Let h be a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree d

•
$$N(r) = \frac{rH'(r)}{H(r)} = 2d + n - 1$$

•
$$H(2r) = \sqrt{H(r)H(4r)}$$

Polynomial growth

Let h be a harmonic function and s < r then

$$\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{N(s)} \leq \frac{H(r)}{H(s)} \leq \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{N(r)}$$

Eigenfunctions: $u: B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$

Eigenfunctions: $u : B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ Harmonic Extension: $h(x, t) = e^{tk}u(x)$ is harmonic on $(x, t) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}$ Eigenfunctions: $u : B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ Harmonic Extension: $h(x, t) = e^{tk}u(x)$ is harmonic on $(x, t) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}$ Define $\widetilde{H}(r) = \int_{B_r} u^2 dx$ for r < R. Eigenfunctions: $u : B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ Harmonic Extension: $h(x, t) = e^{tk}u(x)$ is harmonic on $(x, t) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}$ Define $\widetilde{H}(r) = \int_{B_r} u^2 dx$ for r < R.

Exists eigenfunctions u which is 0 on S_r .

Eigenfunctions: $u : B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ Harmonic Extension: $h(x, t) = e^{tk}u(x)$ is harmonic on $(x, t) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}$ Define $\tilde{H}(r) = \int_{B_r} u^2 dx$ for r < R.

Exists eigenfunctions u which is 0 on S_r .

Theorem (Midpoint Theorem)

For all u there exists C, c > 0 such that

$$\widetilde{H}(2r) \leq Ce^{ckr}\sqrt{\widetilde{H}(r)\widetilde{H}(4r)}$$

Eigenfunctions: $u : B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ Harmonic Extension: $h(x, t) = e^{tk}u(x)$ is harmonic on $(x, t) \in B_R \times \mathbb{R}$ Define $\tilde{H}(r) = \int_{B_r} u^2 dx$ for r < R.

Exists eigenfunctions u which is 0 on S_r .

Theorem (Midpoint Theorem)

For all u there exists C, c > 0 such that

$$\widetilde{H}(2r) \leq Ce^{ckr}\sqrt{\widetilde{H}(r)\widetilde{H}(4r)}$$

Proof.

Using the inequality for the harmonic extension functions.

Theorem (Malinnikova and B. 20)

The result is optimal, in the sense that we can not change Ce^{ckr} to something with slower growth.

Theorem (Malinnikova and B. 20)

The result is optimal, in the sense that we can not change Ce^{ckr} to something with slower growth.

Proof.

We use separation of variables where we have that the radial part is the Bessel functions. The proof is done by using Sturm-Liouville theory.

Theorem (Malinnikova and B. 20)

The result is optimal, in the sense that we can not change Ce^{ckr} to something with slower growth.

Proof.

We use separation of variables where we have that the radial part is the Bessel functions. The proof is done by using Sturm-Liouville theory.

Other results

Elliptic Equation: Garofalo and Lin 86

Schrödinger Equation: Kukavica 98

Riemannian Manifold: (M, \mathbf{g}) Spherical Coordinates: $p \in M$ and r(x) = dist(x, p), and S_r is the sphere with radius r

Riemannian Manifold: (M, \mathbf{g}) Spherical Coordinates: $p \in M$ and r(x) = dist(x, p), and S_r is the sphere with radius r

Riemannian Manifold: (M, \mathbf{g}) Spherical Coordinates: $p \in M$ and $r(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, p)$, and S_r is the sphere with radius r

• Define
$$H(r) = \int_{S_r} h^2 dS$$
 for $r < R$

Riemannian Manifold: (M, \mathbf{g}) Spherical Coordinates: $p \in M$ and $r(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, p)$, and S_r is the sphere with radius r

- Define $H(r) = \int_{S_r} h^2 dS$ for r < R
- To get the convexity we need to control the derivative

Riemannian Manifold: (M, \mathbf{g}) Spherical Coordinates: $p \in M$ and r(x) = dist(x, p), and S_r is the sphere with radius r

- Define $H(r) = \int_{S_r} h^2 dS$ for r < R
- To get the convexity we need to control the derivative
- When taking the derivative; some change comes from the function *h*, and from the area

$$H'(r) = 2 \int_{S_r} hh_r \, dS + \int_{S_r} h^2 \Delta(r) \, dS$$

Riemannian Manifold: (M, \mathbf{g}) Spherical Coordinates: $p \in M$ and r(x) = dist(x, p), and S_r is the sphere with radius r

- Define $H(r) = \int_{S_r} h^2 dS$ for r < R
- To get the convexity we need to control the derivative
- When taking the derivative; some change comes from the function *h*, and from the area

$$H'(r) = 2 \int_{S_r} hh_r \, dS + \int_{S_r} h^2 \Delta(r) \, dS$$

$$H''(r) = 2 \int_{S_r} h_r^2 \, dS + 2 \int_{S_r} h h_{rr}^2 \, dS + 4 \int_{S_r} h h_r \Delta(r) \, dS$$
$$+ \int_{S_r} h^2 (\Delta(r))^2 \, dS + \int_{S_r} h^2 \Delta(r)_r \, dS$$

Controlling the Derivative of S_r



03.12.20 9 / 15

Controlling the Derivative of S_r



03.12.20 9 / 15

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Controlling the Derivative of S_r



$$\cot_{K}(t) = \begin{cases}
\sin(t\sqrt{K}), & \text{when } K > 0 \\
\frac{1}{t}, & \text{when } K = 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{-K}\cosh(t\sqrt{-K})}{\sinh(t\sqrt{-K})}, & \text{when } K < 0
\end{cases}$$

$$\partial_r(\Delta r) = -\operatorname{Ric}(\partial_r,\partial_r) - |\nabla^2 r|^2$$

Stine Marie Berge (NTNU)

E ► E ∽ Q (03.12.20 9/15

The Result for Riemannian Manifolds

Theorem (Rauch Comparison Theorem)

Assume that the scalar curvature of M satisfy $\kappa \|X\|^2 \leq \text{Sec}(X, X) \leq K \|X\|^2$. Then

$${\operatorname{cot}}_{{\mathcal{K}}}(r)g_{{\mathcal{S}}_r} \leq
abla^2 r \leq {\operatorname{cot}}_{\kappa}(r)g_{{\mathcal{S}}_r}$$

which implies that

$$(n-1)\cot_{K}(r) \leq \Delta(r) \leq (n-1)\cot_{\kappa}(r).$$

Theorem (Rauch Comparison Theorem)

Assume that the scalar curvature of M satisfy $\kappa \|X\|^2 \leq \text{Sec}(X, X) \leq K \|X\|^2$. Then

$${\operatorname{cot}}_{{\mathcal K}}(r)g_{{\mathcal S}_r} \leq
abla^2 r \leq {\operatorname{cot}}_{\kappa}(r)g_{{\mathcal S}_r}$$

which implies that

$$(n-1)\cot_{\mathcal{K}}(r) \leq \Delta(r) \leq (n-1)\cot_{\kappa}(r).$$

Theorem (Mangoubi 13, slightly improved for K > 0 in B. 19)

$$egin{aligned} (\log H\left(t
ight))'' + \left(\cot_{K}\left(t
ight) + \left(n+1
ight)\left(\cot_{\kappa}\left(t
ight) - \cot_{K}\left(t
ight)
ight)
ight)\left(\log H\left(t
ight)
ight)' \ &\geq -\left(n-1
ight)K + \left(n-2
ight)\min\left(K,0
ight) - \left(n-1
ight)\left(K-\kappa
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Rauch Comparison Theorem)

Assume that the scalar curvature of M satisfy $\kappa \|X\|^2 \leq \text{Sec}(X, X) \leq K \|X\|^2$. Then

$$\cot_{K}(r)g_{\mathcal{S}_{r}}\leq
abla^{2}r\leq \cot_{\kappa}(r)g_{\mathcal{S}_{r}}$$

which implies that

$$(n-1)\cot_{\mathcal{K}}(r) \leq \Delta(r) \leq (n-1)\cot_{\kappa}(r).$$

Theorem (Mangoubi 13, slightly improved for K > 0 in B. 19)

$$egin{aligned} \left(\log H\left(t
ight)
ight)'' + \left(\cot_{K}\left(t
ight) + \left(n+1
ight)\left(\cot_{\kappa}\left(t
ight) - \cot_{K}\left(t
ight)
ight)
ight) \left(\log H\left(t
ight)
ight)' \ \geq & -\left(n-1
ight)K + \left(n-2
ight)\min\left(K,0
ight) - \left(n-1
ight)\left(K-\kappa
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Which we can integrate and get a convexity inequality

Stine Marie Berge (NTNU)

$$f:U\subset (M,\mathbf{g})\to [0,\infty)$$

$$f:U\subset (M,\mathbf{g})\to [0,\infty)$$

•
$$S_t = f^{-1}(t) = \partial f^{-1}([0, t])$$

$$f:U\subset (M,\mathbf{g})\to [0,\infty)$$

•
$$S_t = f^{-1}(t) = \partial f^{-1}([0, t])$$

• S_t will follow the vector field grad $f/|\operatorname{grad} f|^2$

$$f:U\subset (M,\mathbf{g})\to [0,\infty)$$

•
$$S_t = f^{-1}(t) = \partial f^{-1}([0, t])$$

- S_t will follow the vector field grad f/| grad $f|^2$
- $R_t = f^{-1}([0, t])$ is compact

$$f:U\subset (M,\mathbf{g})\to [0,\infty)$$

•
$$S_t = f^{-1}(t) = \partial f^{-1}([0, t])$$

• S_t will follow the vector field grad f/| grad $f|^2$

•
$$R_t = f^{-1}([0, t])$$
 is compact

• $\frac{1}{|\text{grad } f|}$ is an integrable function on R_t for all t

$$f:U\subset (M,\mathbf{g})\to [0,\infty)$$

•
$$S_t = f^{-1}(t) = \partial f^{-1}([0, t])$$

• S_t will follow the vector field grad $f/|\operatorname{grad} f|^2$

•
$$R_t = f^{-1}([0, t])$$
 is compact

• $\frac{1}{|\text{grad } f|}$ is an integrable function on R_t for all t

Define
$$H(t) = \int_{S_t} \frac{h^2}{|\operatorname{grad} f|} dS$$
 for $r < R$

Other Families of Surfaces (Continued)

Theorem (Hörmander unpublished work)

There exists a ${\cal K}$ only depending on f such that for any harmonic function h

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_{t}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}}_{\mathcal{S}_{t}} h\right|^{2} - h_{n}^{2}}{\left|\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} f\right|} \, dS \geq -\mathcal{K}\left(t\right) \int_{\mathcal{S}_{t}} \left|\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} h\right|^{2} \, d\operatorname{\mathsf{vol}} \, .$$

Other Families of Surfaces (Continued)

Theorem (Hörmander unpublished work)

There exists a \mathcal{K} only depending on f such that for any harmonic function h

$$\int_{S_t} rac{\left|\operatorname{grad}_{S_t} h
ight|^2 - h_n^2}{\left|\operatorname{grad} f
ight|} \, dS \geq -\mathcal{K}\left(t
ight) \int_{S_t} \left|\operatorname{grad} h
ight|^2 \, d \operatorname{vol} \, .$$

Theorem (B. 19)

Assume that

$$m\left(t
ight) \leq rac{\Delta f}{\left| ext{grad } f
ight|^{2}} \leq M\left(t
ight), ext{ and } \left\langle ext{grad } \left(rac{\Delta f}{\left| ext{grad } f
ight|^{2}}
ight), rac{ ext{grad } f}{\left| ext{grad } f
ight|^{2}}
ight
angle \geq g\left(t
ight)$$

on S_t and assume that $\mathcal{K}(t) + M(t) \ge 0$. Then H satisfies

 $\left(\log H\left(t\right)\right)'' + \left(\mathcal{K}\left(t\right) + M\left(t\right)\right)\left(\log H\left(t\right)\right)' \ge g\left(t\right) + m\left(t\right)M\left(t\right) + m\left(t\right)\mathcal{K}\left(t\right).$

Image: Image:

$$D = egin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \ dots & & \ddots & 0 \ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$
 with

with $0 < a_i$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The level surfaces of $f(x) = \sqrt{\langle x, Dx \rangle}$.

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with } 0 < a_i.$$

The level surfaces of $f(x) = \sqrt{\langle x, Dx \rangle}$.

$$\frac{H''\left(t\right)H\left(t\right)-H'\left(t\right)^{2}+\frac{C_{1}}{t}H'\left(t\right)H\left(t\right)}{H\left(t\right)^{2}}\geq-C_{2}/t^{2},$$

where C_1 , C_2 only depends on D.

Example: Torii

Let $S^k \times 0^{n-k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Define f to be

$$f(x) = \sqrt{(r_{k+1}(x) - 1)^2 + x_{k+2}^2 + \dots + x_n^2},$$

where

$$r_{k+1}(x) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{k+1}^2}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let $S^k \times 0^{n-k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Define f to be

$$f(x) = \sqrt{(r_{k+1}(x) - 1)^2 + x_{k+2}^2 + \dots + x_n^2},$$

where

$$r_{k+1}(x) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{k+1}^2}.$$

The function f is smooth if f < 1, hence we will assume that $f \le 1 - \varepsilon$. In this case we get the same inequality with

$$C_1 = 1 + k \left(rac{1}{arepsilon} - rac{1}{2 - arepsilon}
ight)$$
 and $C_2 = k \left(rac{(2n - 3)(1 - arepsilon)}{arepsilon (2 - arepsilon)} - rac{2}{arepsilon^2}
ight)$

.

Thank you for listening!

Stine Marie Berge (NTNU)

Three Surface Theorems

03.12.20 15 / 15